arXiv:1402.1891v2 [math.RT] 18 Feb 2014

ON REPRESENTATION THEORY OF TOTAL (CO)INTEGRALS

MOHAMMAD HASSANZADEH

Abstract. In this paper, we show that total integrals and cointegrals are new sources of stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld modules. We explicitly show that how special types of total (co)integrals can be used to provide both (stable) anti Yetter-Drinfeld and Yetter-Drinfeld modules. We use these modules to classify total (co)integrals and (cleft) Hopf Galois (co)extensions for some examples of the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra, universal enveloping algebras and polynomial algebras.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the representation theory of total integrals and total cointegrals. We show that total (co)integrals are new sources of stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld module. This helps us to classify total (co)integrals and cleft Hopf Galois (co)extensions. Stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld (SAYD) modules are suitable coefficients for Hopf cyclic homology [CM98], [HKRS2] which is a strong algebraic tool in noncommutative geometry [C-Book]. The way that total integrals can be used to produce Yetter-Drinfeld (YD) modules was noticed before in [CFM]. In this paper we develop this idea. More precisely for any total (co)integral which is (co)algebra map we introduce an AYD and an YD module. Furthermore if the Hopf algebra H has a modular pair in involution [CM98] then we produce two different AYD and YD modules. Then we replace the (co)algebra map property of the total (co)integral by cleftness of a Hopf Galois (co)extension and similarly we construct all the four YD and AYD modules that we constructed in the previous case. The interesting fact here is that the stability condition which can not automatically be obtained for AYD modules constructed by total (co)integrals which are (co)algebra maps, can be obtained by cleft Hopf Galois (co)extensions for free. In fact if we have a cleft Hopf Galois (co)extension then not only we obtain an AYD module defined by the total (co)integral but also we obtain the stability condition.

It is known that any total (co)integral which is an (co)algebra map is convolution invertible. Conversely total (co)integrals of any cleft Hopf Galois (co)extension have a close relation to some anti (co)algebra morphisms. We see that when H is (co)commutative any Hopf Galois (co)extension provides a convolution invertible total integral. We use our AYD and YD modules to classify total (co)integrals and Hopf Galois (co)extensions. As an example we see that there is no finite dimensional right (cleft) Hopf Galois extension over the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06B15, 16T05, 11M55.

Key words and phrases. Representation theory, Hopf algebras, Noncommutative geometry.

This paper is organized as follows: In the second section we review the basics of total (co)integrals and cleft Hopf Galois (co)extensions. In the third section we use total (co)integrals to produce (stable) anti Yetter-Drinfeld and Yetter-Drinfeld modules. For any total integral $f : H \longrightarrow A$ which is an algebra map we see that A is an YD module over H in Proposition 3.2 and the quotient space $A_B = A/[A, B]$ is an AYD module over H in Proposition 3.3. If the Hopf algebra H has a modular pair in involution then we construct a different AYD module in Proposition 3.4 and a different YD module in Proposition 3.6. Then we replace the algebra map property of the total integral by the condition that the Hopf Galois extension made by (H, A) is cleft. In this case we recover all four YD and AYD modules that we constructed before and furthermore the AYD modules satisfy stability condition . Dually for a total cointegral $f : C \longrightarrow H$ which is a coalgebra map we show that C is an YD module over H in Proposition 3.19 and the subspace C^D is an AYD module over H in Proposition 3.20. Again if the Hopf algebra H has a modular pair in involution then we construct a different AYD module in Proposition 3.21 and a different YD module in Remark 3.23. Then we replace the coalgebra map property of the total cointegral by the condition that Hopf Galois coextension made by (H, C) is cleft. Similarly we recover all four YD and AYD modules that we constructed before. In the last section, we introduce several examples of our results for the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra, universal enveloping algebras of a Lie algebra, and polynomial algebras.

Acknowledgments: The author deeply appreciates *Atabey Kaygun* for his continues collaboration and ideas in the whole process of this work specially his carefully reading the manuscript. The author would also like to thank *Gabriella Bohm* for her valuable help specially her idea of the statement and the proof of Lemma 3.24. At the end the author would like to appreciate *Mihai Doru Staic* for his helpful comments on stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld modules.

Notations: We denote a Hopf algebra by H and we assume all Hopf algebras in this paper have an invertible antipode. All Hopf algebra, algebras and coalgebras in this paper are on a filed. We use the Sweedler notation $\Delta(c) = c^{(1)} \otimes c^{(2)}$ for the coproduct of a coalgebra (Hopf algebra). The right coaction of a Hopf algebra on a comodule M is denoted by summation notation $m \longmapsto m_{\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes m_{\langle 1 \rangle}$. For all total integrals and cointegrals we assume that all modules or comodules over H (co)act from right.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 3
3. AYD and YD modules from total (co)integrals 4
3.1. (Anti) Yetter-Drinfeld modules constructed by total integrals 4
3.2. (Anti) Yetter-Drinfeld modules constructed by total cointegrals 9
4. Examples 14
References 17

2. Preliminaries

In this section we review the properties of total (co)integrals specially the ones which are (co)algebra morphisms and cointegrals of cleft Hopf Galois (co)extensions. For more information about this section see [Schn], [DMR], [DT], [Be], [CFM].

Let H be Hopf algebra and A be a right H-comodule algebra with the coaction $a \longmapsto a_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes a_{\langle 1\rangle}$. The coinvariant space of the coaction is the subalgebra $B = \{a \in A,\ \rho(a) = a \otimes 1_H\}$. The extension $A(B)^H$ is called (right) Hopf Galois if the canonical map

$$can\colon A \otimes_B A \longrightarrow A \otimes H, \quad a \otimes a' \longmapsto aa'_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes a'_{\langle 1\rangle},$$

is bijective.

Dually let C be a right H-module coalgebra. The set

$$I = span < ch - \varepsilon(h)c >,$$

is a two-sided coideal of C and $D = C/I$ is a coalgebra. The surjection $\pi\colon C \to D$ defines a C-bicomodule structure on D. This coextension is called a (right) H-Galois if the canonical map

$$can\colon C \otimes H \longrightarrow C\Box_D C,\ c \otimes h \longmapsto c^{(1)} \otimes c^{(2)}h, \tag{2.1}$$

is a bijection. We denote a Hopf Galois coextension by $C(D)_H$.

Here we recall the main object of the paper. For any H-comodule algebra A the map $f : H \longrightarrow A$ is called a total integral if it is a unital H-comodule map, *i.e.* $f(1) = 1$ and $f(h^{(1)}) \otimes h^{(2)} = f(h)_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes f(h)_{\langle 1\rangle}$. Dually for any H-module coalgebra C a total cointegral is a counital H-module map $f\colon C \longrightarrow H$ *i.e.* $\varepsilon(f(c)) = \varepsilon(c)$ and $f(ch) = f(c)h$.

Let us recall that a Hopf Galois coextension $C(D)_H$ is called cleft if there is a total cointegral $f\colon C \longrightarrow H$ which is convolution invertible. This means that there exists a linear map $f^{-1}\colon C \longrightarrow H$ such that $f * f^{-1}(c) = \varepsilon(c)1_H$. By [DMR, Lemma 2.3] counitality condition can be ignored for invertible cointegrals because if $f\colon C \longrightarrow H$ is an invertible H-module map, then the right H-module map $f'\colon C \longrightarrow H$ given by $f'(c) = \varepsilon(f^{-1}(c^{(1)})f(c^{(2)})$ is a counital invertible total cointegral. Dually a Hopf Galois extension $A(B)^H$ is called cleft if there is a total integral $f : H \longrightarrow A$ which is convolution invertible. Similarly the unitality condition could be omitted.

It is known that any total cointegral $f\colon C \longrightarrow H$ which is a coalgebra map is convolution invertible where the inverse is given by $f^{-1} = S \circ f$. Here S is the antipode of the Hopf algebra H. Therefore any Hopf Galois coextension with a total cointegral which is a coalgebra morphism is a cleft coextension. In a special case when $S^2 = \mathrm{Id}$ (for example when H is commutative or cocommutative) or when C is cocommutative, any total cointegral which is anti-coalgebra map is convolution invertible. One notes that the inverse map f^{-1} is not a H-module map, but it satisfies

$$f^{-1}(ch) = S(h)f^{-1}(c). \tag{2.2}$$

This is because the maps $m \circ tw \circ (f^{-1} \otimes S)$ and $f^{-1} \circ \gamma$ appeared in the right and left hand sides of (2.2) have the same two sided inverse $m \circ (f \otimes \mathrm{Id}_H)$ in convolution algebra $\mathrm{Hom}(C \otimes H, H)$. Here m is the multiplication map of H and $\gamma \colon C \otimes H \longrightarrow C$ is the right H-action on C and $tw \colon H \otimes H \longrightarrow H \otimes H$ is the twist map. Dually if the total integral $f : H \longrightarrow A$ is an algebra map then $f^{-1} := f \circ S$ defines a convolution inverse of f. Similarly the inverse map $f^{-1} : H \longrightarrow A$ is not a comodule map but it satisfies

$$f^{-1}(h)_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes f^{-1}(h)_{\langle 1\rangle} = f^{-1}(h^{(2)}) \otimes S(h^{(1)}). \tag{2.3}$$

3. AYD and YD modules from total (co)integrals

In this section, we study Yetter-Drinfeld and anti Yetter-Drinfeld modules constructed by total (co)integrals. First, we explain how total (co)integrals which are (co)algebra maps can produce different types of anti Yetter-Drinfeld and Yetter-Drinfeld modules. Then we show that those total (co)integrals which are also (co)algebra maps and they satisfy certain properties yield stability condition and therefore stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld modules. More precisely for any total (co)integral which is a (co)algebra map we introduce an AYD and an YD module. Furthermore if the Hopf algebra H has a modular pair in involution then we produce two different AYD and YD modules. Then we replace the (co)algebra map property of the total (co)integral by cleftness of Hopf Galois (co)extensions and similarly we construct all the four YD and AYD modules that we constructed in the previous case. We remind that anti Yetter-Drinfeld modules are suitable coefficients for Hopf cyclic cohomology. Here we recall the definition of three types anti Yetter-Drinfeld modules that will appear later in this paper. The module and comodule M over a Hopf algebra H is called an anti-Yetter-Drinfeld module [HKRS1] iff the action and coaction are compatible in the following sense;

$\rho(hm) = h^{(2)} m_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes h^{(3)} m_{\langle 1\rangle} S(h^{(1)})$, if M is a left module and a right comodule,

$\rho(mh) = S(h^{(3)}) m_{\langle -1\rangle} h^{(1)} \otimes m_{\langle 0\rangle} h^{(2)}$, if M is a right module and a left comodule,

$\rho(mh) = m_{\langle 0\rangle} h^{(2)} \otimes S^{-1}(h^{(1)}) m_{\langle 1\rangle} h^{(3)}$, if M is a right module and a right comodule.

Furthermore the module M is called stable if $m_{\langle 1\rangle} m_{\langle 0\rangle} = m$, $m_{\langle 0\rangle} m_{\langle -1\rangle} = m$, and $m_{\langle 0\rangle} m_{\langle 1\rangle} = m$ for the left-right, right-left, and right-right cases, respectively. The Yetter-Drinfeld condition simply is obtained by replacing S and S^{-1} by each other in the anti Yetter-Drinfeld condition.

3.1. (Anti) Yetter-Drinfeld modules constructed by total integrals.

In this subsection, we use total integrals to produce (stable) anti Yetter-Drinfeld and Yetter-Drinfeld modules. Let us first recall the following fact.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \colon H \longrightarrow A$ be a total integral which is an algebra map. Then A and the subalgebra $A^B = \{a \in A,\ ba = ab\}$, the centralizer of A in B, are both right H-modules by the action given by*

$$ah = f^{-1}(h^{(1)}) a f(h^{(2)}). \tag{3.1}$$

The following proposition shows how special types of total integrals yield Yetter-Drinfeld modules.

Proposition 3.2. [CFM] *Let H be a Hopf algebra, A be a right H-comodule algebra and $f\colon H \longrightarrow A$ be a total integral which is an algebra map. Then A is a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module by the original coaction of H and the following action;*

$$ah = f^{-1}(h^{(1)})af(h^{(2)}). \tag{3.2}$$

In the following proposition we introduce an anti Yetter-Drinfeld module constructed by special type of total integrals.

Proposition 3.3. *Let H be a Hopf algebra, A be a right H-comodule algebra and $f\colon H \longrightarrow A$ be a total integral which is an algebra map. Then $A_B = A/[A,B]$ is a left-right anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H by the original coaction of H and the following action;*

$$ha = f(h^{(2)})af^{-1}(h^{(1)}). \tag{3.3}$$

Furthermore if

$$a_{\langle 0\rangle}f^{-1}(a_{\langle 1\rangle}{}^{(1)})f(a_{\langle 1\rangle}{}^{(2)}) = a, \tag{3.4}$$

then this action is stable.

Proof. The action is associative by the algebra map property of f and anti algebra map property of f^{-1} and it is unital by unitality of f and f^{-1}. The following computation shows the AYD condition.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(ha)_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes (ha)_{\langle 1\rangle} \\
&= f(h^{(2)})_{\langle 0\rangle}a_{\langle 0\rangle}f^{-1}(h^{(1)})_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes f(h^{(2)})_{\langle 1\rangle}a_{\langle 1\rangle}f^{-1}(h^{(1)})_{\langle 1\rangle} \\
&= f(h^{(2)\,(1)})a_{\langle 0\rangle}f^{-1}(h^{(1)\,(2)}) \otimes h^{(2)\,(2)}a_{\langle 1\rangle}S(h^{(1)\,(1)}) \\
&= f(h^{(3)})a_{\langle 0\rangle}f^{-1}(h^{(2)}) \otimes h^{(4)}a_{\langle 1\rangle}S(h^{(1)}) \\
&= f(h^{(2)\,(2)})a_{\langle 0\rangle}f^{-1}(h^{(2)\,(1)}) \otimes h^{(3)}a_{\langle 1\rangle}S(h^{(1)}) \\
&= h^{(2)}a_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes h^{(3)}a_{\langle 1\rangle}S(h^{(1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

The stability condition is obvious. □

By far from any total integral which is an algebra map we have an YD over A [CFM] and an AYD over $A_B = A/[A,B]$. Now, we construct different AYD and YD modules by a total integral. To do this, we need a condition on the Hopf algebra H. Let us recall the notion of modular pair in involution [CM98]. Let k be the ground field of a Hopf algebra H. Any unital algebra map $\delta\colon H \longrightarrow k$ is called a character. If $\sigma \in H$ is a group-like element *i.e.* $\Delta(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma$, then the pair (δ, σ) is called a modular pair if $\delta(\sigma) = 1$. Furthermore if $\widetilde{S}^2(h) = \sigma h \sigma^{-1}$ where $\widetilde{S}(h) = \delta(h^{(1)})S(h^{(2)})$ then (δ, σ) is called a modular pair in involution. We remind that the notion of modular pair in involution has important role to define Hopf cyclic cohomology [CM98].

Proposition 3.4. *Let H be a Hopf algebra over a field k with a modular pair in involution (δ, σ). If $f\colon H^{cop} \longrightarrow A$ is a total integral which is an algebra map then $A \otimes^{\delta} k_{\sigma}$ is af right-right anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H^{cop} by the action and coaction given by*

$$(a \otimes 1_k)h = f^{-1}(h^{(1)})af(h^{(2)}) \otimes \delta(h^{(3)}),\ a \otimes 1_k \longmapsto a_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes 1_k \otimes a_{\langle 1\rangle}\sigma. \tag{3.5}$$

Furthermore this action is stable if

$$f^{-1}(\sigma)f^{-1}(a_{\langle 1\rangle}{}^{(1)})a_{\langle 0\rangle}f(a_{\langle 1\rangle}{}^{(2)})f(\sigma)\delta(a_{\langle 1\rangle}{}^{(3)}) = a \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. We note that A is a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module over H^{cop} by Proposition 3.2. It is known [HKRS1] that if H has a modular pair in involution then the ground field k is a right-left stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H by the action $1h = \delta(h)$ and the coaction $1 \longmapsto \sigma \otimes 1$. We denote this module by ${}^{\delta}k_{\sigma}$. If H is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode S then H^{cop} is a Hopf algebra with antipode S^{-1}. Since ${}^{\delta}k_{\sigma}$ is a right-left anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H then it is a right-right anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H^{cop} simply by twisting the left coaction to obtain a right coaction. Now we use the fact that the category of anti Yetter-Drinfeld modules is a monoidal category over the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules. Precisely the tensor product of a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module A by a right-right anti Yetter-Drinfeld module ${}^{\delta}k_{\sigma}$ is a right-right anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let H be a cocommutative Hopf algebra over a field k with a modular pair in involution (δ, σ). If $f\colon H \longrightarrow A$ is a total integral which is an algebra map then $A \otimes^{\delta} k_{\sigma}$ is an right-right anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H.*

In the following proposition we introduce a different Yetter-Drinfeld module constructed by special total integrals.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $H^{op,cop}$ be a Hopf algebra with a modular pair in involution (δ, σ), and $f\colon H \longrightarrow A$ be a total integral which is an algebra map. Then $A_B = A/[A, B]$ is a right-left Yetter-Drinfeld module over $H^{op,cop}$ by the action and coaction given by*

$$ah = f(h^{(2)})af^{-1}(h^{(1)})\delta(S(h^{(3)})),\ a \longmapsto a_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes \sigma^{-1}a_{\langle 1\rangle}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. This is the result of the fact that any left-right anti Yetter-Drinfeld module (e.g A_B) over a Hopf algebra H can be turned in to a right-left anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over $H^{op,cop}$. Then the result follows from [St, Theorem 2.1] as one can turn an anti Yetter-Drinfeld module to a Yetter-Drinfeld module. □

One notes that if $(\varepsilon, 1)$ is the modular pair in involution of H, (e.g group algebra and universal enveloping algebra), then the action and the coaction in the previous Proposition is the same as the ones in the Proposition 3.3.

By far we constructed four YD and AYD modules for total integrals which are algebra maps. Now we aim to replace the algebra map property of the total integral f by cleftness of a Hopf Galois extension. Let $A(B)^H$ be a Hopf Galois extension. In this case the map

$$\kappa\colon H \longrightarrow (A \otimes_B A)^B, \quad \kappa(h) = can^{-1}(1_A \otimes h), \tag{3.8}$$

is an anti-algebra map [JS]. Here

$$(A \otimes_B A)^B = \{a \otimes a' \in A \otimes_B A,\ ba \otimes a' = a \otimes a'b\}.$$

The algebra structure of $(A \otimes_B A)^B$ is given by

$$(a_1 \otimes a_1')(a_2 \otimes a_2') = a_1 a_2 \otimes a_2' a_2. \tag{3.9}$$

We denote $\kappa(h) = \kappa^1(h) \otimes \kappa^2(h)$. One notes that the anti-algebra map property of κ is equivalent to

$$\kappa^1(hk) \otimes \kappa^2(hk) = \kappa^1(k)\kappa^1(h) \otimes \kappa^2(h)\kappa^2(k), \tag{3.10}$$

for all $h, k \in H$. The following lemma enables us to find out that although the total integrals of a cleft Hopf Galois extension are not algebra maps in general, they have close relation to an algebra map.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $A(B)^H$ be a cleft Hopf Galois extension with a convolution invertible total integral $f\colon H \longrightarrow A$. Then*

$$\kappa(h) = f^{-1}(h^{(1)}) \otimes f(h^{(2)}) \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. This is followed by $can^{-1}(a \otimes h) = af^{-1}(h^{(1)}) \otimes f(h^{(2)})$. □

Remark 3.8. Let $A(B)^H$ be a cleft Hopf Galois extension with total integral f. The previous lemma shows that although the total integral f is not an algebra map in general it satisfies the following relation;

$$f^{-1}(h^{(1)}k^{(1)}) \otimes f(h^{(2)}k^{(2)}) = f^{-1}(k^{(1)})f^{-1}(h^{(1)}) \otimes f(h^{(2)})f(k^{(2)}). \tag{3.12}$$

Now we are ready to replace the algebra map property of a total integral $f\colon H \longrightarrow A$, discussed before, by the condition that $A(B)^H$ is a cleft Hopf Galois extension. In fact the associativity of the H-action over A which was the result of the algebra map property of the total integral, now can be obtained by the anti algebra map property of κ.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $A(B)^H$ be a cleft Hopf Galois extension by the total integral $f\colon H \longrightarrow A$. Then A and $A^B = \{a \in A,\ ba = ab\}$, are both right H-modules by the action given by*

$$ah = f^{-1}(h^{(1)})af(h^{(2)}). \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. This is due to the fact that κ is an anti algebra map and therefore the right H-action $ah = \kappa^1(h)a\kappa^2(h)$ turns A and A^B to an associative and unital action. □

The following proposition introduces a new YD module for any Hopf Galois extension.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $A(B)^H$ be a Hopf Galois extension. Then A is a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module over H by the associative and unital action given by*

$$ah = \kappa^1(h)a\kappa^2(h). \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. The associativity of the action is the result of the anti algebra map property of κ. The unitality of the action is obvious by unitality of κ. For the right-right YD condition we notice that the map κ has the following property [JS];

$$\kappa^1(h)_{\langle 0\rangle}\otimes\kappa^2(h)_{\langle 0\rangle}\otimes\kappa^1(h)_{\langle 1\rangle}\otimes\kappa^2(h)_{\langle 1\rangle}=\kappa^1(h^{(2)})\otimes\kappa^2(h^{(2)})\otimes S(h^{(1)})\otimes h^{(3)}. \tag{3.15}$$

The following computation proves the YD condition.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\kappa^1(h)a\kappa^2(h))_{\langle 0\rangle}\otimes(\kappa^1(h)a\kappa^2(h))_{\langle 1\rangle}\\
&=\kappa^1(h)_{\langle 0\rangle}a_{\langle 0\rangle}\kappa^2(h)_{\langle 0\rangle}\otimes\kappa^1(h)_{\langle 1\rangle}a_{\langle 1\rangle}\kappa^2(h)_{\langle 1\rangle}\\
&=\kappa^1(h^{(2)})a_{\langle 0\rangle}\kappa^2(h^{(2)})\otimes S(h^{(1)})a_{\langle 1\rangle}h^{(3)}\\
&=a_{\langle 0\rangle}h^{(2)}\otimes S(h^{(1)})a_{\langle 1\rangle}h^{(3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We used (3.15) in the second equality. □

In the following proposition we introduce an YD module structure over A for cleft Hopf Galois extensions.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $A(B)^H$ be a cleft Hopf Galois extension with the total integral $f\colon H\longrightarrow A$. Then A is a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module over H by the associative and unital action given by*

$$ah=f^{-1}(h^{(1)})af(h^{(2)}). \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. This is the immediate result of the previous lemma and $f^{-1}(h^{(1)})\otimes f(h^{(2)})=\kappa^1(h)\otimes\kappa^2(h)$. □

In the following proposition we introduce an SAYD module over A for cleft Hopf Galois extensions.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $A(B)^H$ be a cleft Hopf Galois extension by the total integral $f\colon H\longrightarrow A$. Then $A_B=A/[A,B]$ is a left-right* stable *anti Yetter-Drinfeld module by the action given by*

$$ha=f(h^{(2)})af^{-1}(h^{(1)}). \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. By [JS] the quieten space A_B is a left-right stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld module by the left H-action given by

$$ha=\kappa^2(h)a\kappa^1(h). \tag{3.18}$$

Now the statement is the result of Lemma 3.7. □

One notes that the stability condition in the previous proposition is obtained by the fact that $A(B)^H$ is a Hopf Galois extension. As we noticed before the stability condition can not be obtained automatically by total integrals which are algebra maps. But if $A(B)^H$ is a cleft Hopf Galois extension then not only we obtain an AYD module using the total integral but also we obtain the stability condition. Now for the Hopf algebras with a modular pair in involution we introduce different AYD and YD modules.

Proposition 3.13. *Let H be a Hopf algebra over a field k with a modular pair in involution (δ, σ) and let $A(B)^{H^{cop}}$ be a cleft Hopf Galois extension with the total integral $f : H^{cop} \longrightarrow A$. Then $A \otimes {}^{\delta}k_{\sigma}$ is a right-right* stable *anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H^{cop} by the coaction and action given by*

$$ah = f^{-1}(h^{(1)})af(h^{(2)}) \otimes \delta(h^{(3)}), \quad a \otimes 1_k \longmapsto a_{\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes 1_k \otimes a_{\langle 1 \rangle}\sigma. \tag{3.19}$$

Proposition 3.14. *Let $H^{op,cop}$ be a Hopf algebra with a modular pair in involution (δ, σ) and let $A(B)^{H^{op,cop}}$ be a cleft Hopf Galois extension with the total integral $f\colon H^{op,cop} \longrightarrow A$. Then A_B is a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module over $H^{op,cop}$ with the following action and coaction,*

$$f(h^{(2)})af^{-1}(h^{(1)})\delta(S(h^{(3)}),\ a \longmapsto a_{\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes \sigma^{-1}a_{\langle 1 \rangle}.$$

Corollary 3.15. *Let H be a cocommutative Hopf algebra with a modular pair in involution (δ, σ) and let $A(B)^H$ be a cleft Hopf Galois extension with the total integral $f\colon H \longrightarrow A$. Then $A \otimes^{\delta} k_{\sigma}$ is an right-right stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H.*

Since *can* is a right H-comodule morphism the map κ is a right H-comodule map. If H is a commutative Hopf algebra then $(A \otimes_B A)^B$ is a right H-comodule algebra by the coaction given by $a \otimes_B a' \longmapsto a \otimes a'_{\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes_B a'_{\langle 1 \rangle}$ which is well-defined because the right H-coaction is B-linear. In this case κ is an algebra map which is a H-comodule map and therefore it is a total integral. The following proposition states that any commutative Hopf Galois extension provides a convolution invertible total integral.

Proposition 3.16. *Let H be a commutative Hopf algebra and $A(B)^H$ be a Hopf Galois extension. Then the map $\kappa : H \longrightarrow (A \otimes_B A)^B$ is a convolution invertible total integral.*

Proposition 3.17. *Let H be a commutative Hopf algebra and $A(B)^H$ be a Hopf Galois extension. Then the following Galois map*

$$(A \otimes_B A)^B \otimes (A \otimes_B A)^B \longrightarrow (A \otimes_B A)^B \otimes H, \tag{3.20}$$

given by

$$(x \otimes y) \otimes (x' \otimes y') \longmapsto xy \otimes y'_{\langle 0 \rangle} y \otimes y_{\langle 1 \rangle}, \tag{3.21}$$

is surjective.

One notes that the Galois map in the previous proposition is not necessarily injective in general.

3.2. **(Anti) Yetter-Drinfeld modules constructed by total cointegrals.** In this subsection we explain the dual results of the previous subsection for total cointegrals. Let C be a right H-module, $D = C/I$ and $\pi\colon C \longrightarrow D$. By [Has] we set

$$C^D = \left\{ c \in C, \quad c^{(1)}\varphi(\pi(c^{(2)})) = c^{(2)}\varphi(\pi(c^{(1)})) \right\}_{\varphi \in D^*}, \tag{3.22}$$

where D^* is the algebraic dual of D. We define

$$C_D = \frac{C}{W}, \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$W = \left\{ c^{(1)}\varphi(c^{(2)}) - c^{(2)}\varphi(c^{(1)}), \quad c \in C \right\}_{\varphi \in D^*}.$$

Lemma 3.18. *Let $f\colon C \longrightarrow H$ be a total cointegral which is an coalgebra map. Then C and C^D, are both right H-comodules by the coaction given by*

$$c \longmapsto c^{(2)} \otimes f^{-1}(c^{(1)})f(c^{(3)}). \tag{3.24}$$

Proof. The coassociativity of the coaction is the result of coalgebra and anti-coalgebra map properties of f and f^{-1}.

□

The following proposition explains how a special type of total cointegrals produce YD modules.

Proposition 3.19. *Let $f\colon C \longrightarrow H$ be a total cointegral which is a coalgebra map. Then C is a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module by the original action of H and the following coaction;*

$$c \longmapsto c^{(2)} \otimes f^{-1}(c^{(1)})f(c^{(3)}). \tag{3.25}$$

Proof. The following computation shows the Yetter-Drinfeld condition.

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(ch) &= c^{(2)}h^{(2)} \otimes f^{-1}(c^{(1)}h^{(1)})f(c^{(3)}h^{(3)})\\ &= c^{(2)}h^{(2)} \otimes S(h^{(1)})f^{-1}(c^{(1)})f(c^{(3)})h^{(3)}\\ &= c_{\langle 0\rangle}h^{(2)} \otimes S(h^{(1)})c_{\langle 1\rangle}h^{(3)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Here we introduce an AYD module which is constructed by total cointegrals.

Proposition 3.20. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and $f\colon H \longrightarrow A$ be a total integral which is a coalgebra map. Then C^D is a right-left anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H by the original action of H and the following coaction;*

$$c \longmapsto f^{-1}(c^{(3)})f(c^{(1)}) \otimes c^{(2)}. \tag{3.26}$$

Furthermore this action is stable if

$$c = c^{(2)}f^{-1}(c^{(3)})f(c^{(1)}). \tag{3.27}$$

Proof. The following computation shows the anti Yetter-Drinfeld condition.

$$\begin{aligned}&(ch)_{\langle -1\rangle} \otimes (ch)_{\langle 0\rangle}\\ &= f^{-1}(c^{(3)}h^{(3)})f(c^{(1)}h^{(1)}) \otimes c^{(2)}h^{(2)}\\ &= S(h^{(3)})c^{(3)}f(c^{(1)})h^{(1)} \otimes c^{(2)}h^{(2)}\\ &= S(h^{(3)})c_{\langle -1\rangle}h^{(1)} \otimes c_{\langle 0\rangle}h^{(2)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Now for the Hopf algebras which admit a modular pair in involution we introduce different YD and AYD modules.

Proposition 3.21. *Let H be a Hopf algebra over a field k with a modular pair in involution (δ,σ). If $f\colon C\longrightarrow H^{cop}$ is a total cointegral which is a coalgebra map then $C\otimes^{\delta}k_{\sigma}$ is an right-right anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H^{cop} by the action and coaction given by*

$$(c\otimes 1_k)h=ch^{(1)}\otimes\delta(h^{(2)}),\ c\otimes 1_k\longmapsto c^{(2)}\otimes 1_k\otimes f^{-1}(c^{(1)})f(c^{(3)})\sigma. \tag{3.28}$$

Furthermore this action is stable if

$$c^{(3)}f^{-1}(c^{(2)})f(c^{(4)})\sigma\otimes\delta(f^{-1}(c^{(1)})f(c^{(5)})=c\otimes 1_k. \tag{3.29}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Proposition 3.21. □

Corollary 3.22. *Let H be a cocommutative Hopf algebra over a field k with a modular pair in involution (δ,σ). If $f\colon C\longrightarrow H$ is a total cointegral which is a coalgebra map then $C\otimes^{\delta}k_{\sigma}$ is an right-right anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H.*

Remark 3.23. Let $H^{op,cop}$ be a Hopf algebra over a field k with a modular pair in involution (δ,σ) and $f\colon C\longrightarrow H^{op,cop}$ be a total cointegral which is a coalgebra map. Then by [St] the right-left AYD module C^D over $H^{op,cop}$ can be turned in to a right-left YD module over $H^{op,cop}$ by twisting the action and coaction using δ and σ.

Now we replace the coalgebra map property of the total cointegral f by a cleft Hopf Galois coextension. For any Hopf Galois coextension $C(D)_H$, by [Has] we set

$$(C\square_D C)_D=\frac{C\,\square_D C}{W}, \tag{3.30}$$

where

$$W=\langle c\otimes c'^{(1)}\varphi(\pi(c'^{(2)}))-c^{(2)}\otimes c'\varphi(\pi(c^{(1)}))\rangle, \tag{3.31}$$

and $\varphi\in D^*$, $c\otimes c'\in C\,\square_D C$. We denote the elements of the quotient by an over line. This quotient space $(C\,\square_D C)_D$ is a coassociative counital coalgebra [Has] where the coproduct and counit maps are given by

$$\Delta(\overline{c\otimes c'})=\overline{c^{(1)}\,\square_D c'^{(2)}}\otimes\overline{c^{(2)}\,\square_D c'^{(1)}}.\qquad\varepsilon(\overline{c\otimes c'})=\varepsilon(c)\varepsilon(c'). \tag{3.32}$$

Since for any Hopf Galois coextension $C(D)_H$ we have $\pi(ch)=\varepsilon(h)\pi(c)$ then $(C\square_D C)_D$ is a right H-module by the right action given by

$$(c\otimes c')h=c\otimes c'h,\ c,c'\in C,h\in H. \tag{3.33}$$

By [Has] the map

$$\kappa\colon=(\varepsilon\otimes\mathrm{Id}_H)\circ can^{-1}\colon(C\square_D C)_D\longrightarrow H \tag{3.34}$$

is an anti-coalgebra map which is equivalent to

$$\kappa(\overline{c\otimes c'})^{(1)}\otimes\kappa(\overline{c\otimes c'})^{(2)}=\kappa(\overline{c^{(2)}\otimes c'^{(1)}})\otimes\kappa(\overline{c^{(1)}\otimes c'^{(2)}}). \tag{3.35}$$

The following lemma enables us to find out that although the total cointegrals of a cleft Hopf Galois coextension are not coalgebra maps in general, they have a close relation to a coalgebra map.

Lemma 3.24. *Let $C(D)_H$ be a cleft Hopf Galois coextension with convolution invertible total cointegral $f\colon C \longrightarrow H$. Then*

$$\kappa(c \otimes c') = f^{-1}(c)f(c'),\ c \otimes c' \in (C\square_D C)_D. \tag{3.36}$$

Proof. It is enough to show that

$$can^{-1}(c \otimes c') = c^{(1)} \otimes f^{-1}(c^{(2)})f(c'). \tag{3.37}$$

To prove this, we observe that since *can* is bijective canonical map then there exists $\sum_i c_i \otimes h_i \in C \otimes H$ such that $can(\sum_i c_i \otimes h_i) = c \otimes c'$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i can^{-1}(can(c_i \otimes h_i)) = \sum_i can^{-1}({c_i}^{(1)} \otimes {c_i}^{(2)}h_i)\\
&= \sum_i {c_i}^{(1)} \otimes f^{-1}({c_i}^{(2)})f({c_i}^{(3)}h_i) = \sum_i {c_i}^{(1)} \otimes f^{-1}({c_i}^{(2)})f({c_i}^{(3)})h_i\\
&= \sum_i {c_i}^{(1)} \otimes \varepsilon({c_i}^{(2)})h_i = \sum_i c_i \otimes h_i.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the equation (3.37) is the correct formula for can^{-1}. □

Let $C(D)_H$ be a cleft Hopf Galois coextension with a total cointegral $f\colon C \longrightarrow H$. The previous lemma and the anti coalgebra map property of κ show that although the total cointegral f is not a coalgebra map in general it satisfies the following property;

$$f^{-1}(c)^{(1)}f(c')^{(1)} \otimes f^{-1}(c)^{(2)}f(c')^{(2)} = f^{-1}(c^{(2)})f({c'}^{(1)}) \otimes f^{-1}(c^{(1)})f({c'}^{(2)}). \tag{3.38}$$

Lemma 3.25. *Let $C(D)_H$ be a Hopf Galois coextension. Then C is a right H-comodule by the coaction given by*

$$c \longmapsto c^{(2)} \otimes \kappa(c^{(1)} \otimes c^{(3)}). \tag{3.39}$$

Proof. The following computation shows the coassociativity of the coaction.

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes {c_{\langle 1\rangle}}^{(1)} \otimes {c_{\langle 1\rangle}}^{(2)}\\
&= c^{(2)} \otimes \kappa(c^{(1)} \otimes c^{(3)})^{(1)} \otimes \kappa(c^{(1)} \otimes c^{(3)})^{(2)}\\
&= c^{(2)} \otimes \kappa(c^{(1)\,(2)} \otimes c^{(3)\,(1)}) \otimes \kappa(c^{(1)\,(1)} \otimes c^{(3)\,(2)})\\
&= c^{(3)} \otimes \kappa(c^{(2)} \otimes c^{(4)}) \otimes \kappa(c^{(1)} \otimes c^{(5)})\\
&= c^{(2)\,(2)} \otimes \kappa(c^{(2)\,(1)} \otimes c^{(2)\,(3)}) \otimes \kappa(c^{(1)} \otimes c^{(3)})\\
&= c_{\langle 0\rangle\langle 0\rangle} \otimes c_{\langle 0\rangle\langle 1\rangle} \otimes c_{\langle 1\rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

We used the coalgebra map property of κ in the second equality. □

Lemma 3.26. *Let $C(D)_H$ be a cleft Hopf Galois coextension by the total cointegral $f\colon C \longrightarrow H$. Then C is a right H-comodule by the coaction given by*

$$c \longmapsto c^{(2)} \otimes f^{-1}(c^{(1)})f(c^{(3)}). \tag{3.40}$$

Proof. This is the result of the Lemmas 3.24 and 3.25. □

Proposition 3.27. *Let $C(D)_H$ be a Hopf Galois coextension. Then C is a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module over H by the original action of H and the following coaction*

$$c \longmapsto c^{(2)} \otimes f^{-1}(c^{(1)})f(c^{(3)}). \tag{3.41}$$

Proof. This is the result of Lemmas 3.24 and 3.25 and the Proposition 3.19. □

Here we introduce a *stable* anti Yetter-Drinfeld module constructed by total cointegrals of cleft Hopf Galois coextensions. The stability condition which is not followed immediately by the total cointegrals which are coalgebra maps, satisfies here for free.

Proposition 3.28. *Let $C(D)_H$ be a cleft Hopf Galois coextension by the total cointegral $f : C \longrightarrow H$. Then C^D is a right-left stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld module by the coaction given by*

$$c \longmapsto c^{(2)} \otimes f^{-1}(c^{(1)})f(c^{(3)}). \tag{3.42}$$

Proof. By [Has] the subspace C^D is a right-left *stable* anti Yetter-Drinfeld module by the left H-coaction given by

$$c \longmapsto c^{(2)} \otimes \kappa(c^{(1)} \otimes c^{(3)}). \tag{3.43}$$

Now the statement is the result of Lemma 3.24. □

Now we introduce different YD and AYD modules when the Hopf algebra of the Hopf Galois coextension has a modular pair in involution.

Proposition 3.29. *Let H be a Hopf algebra over a field k with a modular pair in involution (δ, σ), and $C(D)_{H^{cop}}$ be a cleft Hopf Galois coextension with a total cointegral $f : C \longrightarrow H^{cop}$. Then $C \otimes^{\delta} k_\sigma$ is a right-right stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H^{cop} by the action and coaction given by*

$$(c \otimes 1_k)h = ch^{(1)} \otimes \delta(h^{(2)}),\ c \otimes 1_k \longmapsto c^{(2)} \otimes 1_k \otimes f^{-1}(c^{(1)})f(c^{(3)})\sigma. \tag{3.44}$$

Corollary 3.30. *Let H be a cocommutative Hopf algebra over a field k with a modular pair in involution (δ, σ), and $C(D)_H$ be a cleft Hopf Galois coextension with a total cointegral $f : C \longrightarrow H$. Then $C \otimes^{\delta} k_\sigma$ is an right-right stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H by the action and coaction given in the previous proposition.*

One notes that again by [St] the right-left anti Yetter-Drinfeld module C^D over $H^{op,cop}$ can be turned in to a right-left Yetter-Drinfeld module over $H^{op,cop}$.

If H is a cocommutative Hopf algebra then the original action of H over C turns $(C\square_D C)_D$ in to a right H-module coalgebra. By [Has, Lemma 3.5] the map κ is a right H-module map and therefore it is a total cointegral. Therefore we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.31. *Let H be a cocommutative Hopf algebra and $C(D)_H$ be a Hopf Galois coextension. Then the space $(C\square_D C)_D$ is a right H-module coalgebra and the map $\kappa : (C\square_D C)_D \longrightarrow H$ is a convolution invertible total cointegral.*

Also the Galois map

$$can\colon (C\square_D C)_D \otimes H \longrightarrow (C\square_D C)_D\square(C\square_D C)_D, \tag{3.45}$$

given by

$$(c\otimes c')\otimes h \longmapsto c^{(1)}\otimes {c'}^{(2)}\otimes c^{(2)}\otimes {c'}^{(1)}h, \tag{3.46}$$

is injective. This is because $can(c\otimes 1_H)$ and $can'\colon c'\otimes h \longmapsto {c'}^{(1)}h\otimes {c'}^{(2)}$ [CDY, Lemma 4.1] are both injective. This map is not necessarily surjective in general. Therefore we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.32. *Let H be a cocommutative Hopf algebra and $C(D)_H$ be a Hopf Galois coextension. Then the following Galois map is injective.*

$$can\colon (C\square_D C)_D \otimes H \longrightarrow (C\square_D C)_D\square(C\square_D C)_D. \tag{3.47}$$

4. Examples

In this section we introduce some examples of our results in the previous sections. Specially we apply them to the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra, universal enveloping algebras of a Lie algebra and polynomial algebras. We use our results to classify (cleft) Hopf Galois (co)extensions and total (co)integrals.

Proposition 4.1. *Let H_n be the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra and A be a finite dimensional comodule algebra over H_n with non-trivial coaction. Then there is no total integral $f\colon H_n \longrightarrow A$ which is an algebra map.*

Proof. By the results of the previous section A is an YD module by the action $ah = f^{-1}(h^{(1)})af(h^{(2)})$ and the original non-trivial coaction. But this is in contradiction with the fact that any finite dimensional YD module over the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra has trivial coaction [RS]. □

Dually we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *Let H_n be the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra and C be a finite dimensional module coalgebra over H_n with non-trivial action. Then there is no total cointegral $f\colon C \longrightarrow H_n$ which is a coalgebra map.*

Proof. By the results of the previous section C is an YD module by the the original non-trivial action. But this is in contradiction with the fact that any finite dimensional YD module over the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra has trivial action [RS]. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let A be a finite dimensional comodule algebra over the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra H_n. Then there is no right Hopf Galois extension $A(B)^{H_n}$.*

Proof. It is known [RS] that the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra admits only one finite dimensional stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld module which is the ground field $\mathbb{C}$ by the right action and left coaction defined by the modular pair in involution $(\delta, 1)$ [CM98]. One notes that the only SAYD on the ground filed is of the type right-left. But we showed that A_B is a left-right stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld module which is a contradiction.

□

Proposition 4.4. *Let H_n be the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra and C be a cocommutative H_n-module coalgebra with non-trivial H_n-coaction. Then there is no finite dimensional right Hopf Galois coextension $C(D)_{H_n}$.*

Proof. By the results of the previous section C^D is a right-left SAYD module over H_n. Since C is cocommutative then $C^D = C$. Again by [RS] the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra admits only one finite dimensional stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld module which is the ground field $\mathbb{C}$ by the right action and left coaction defined by the modular pair in involution $(\delta, 1)$ [CM98]. Therefore $C^D \cong \mathbb{C}$ as a H-module-comodule. But this implies that the action of H_n on $C^D = C$ should be trivial by δ which is a contradiction. □

Proposition 4.5. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and C be a H-module coalgebra. If there exists a coaction of H that turns D into an H-comodule coalgebra such that $C \cong D\#H$ as H-module coalgebras then*

i) C is a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module over H.
ii) C^D is a right-left stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H.

Proof. It was shown in [DMR] that the Hopf Galois coextension $C(D)_H$ is cleft if and only if $C \cong D\#_\sigma H$ as H-module coalgebras where $D\#_\sigma H$ is the crossed coproduct with respect to cocycle $\sigma\colon C \longrightarrow H \otimes H$. Then both (i) and (ii) are followed by our results in the previous section. □

Dually we have the following result.

Proposition 4.6. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and A be a H-comodule algebra. If there exists an action of H that turns B into an H-module algebra such that $A \cong B\#H$ as H-comodule algebras then*

i) A is a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module over H.
ii) A_B is a left-right stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over H.

Proof. This is the result of the fact that by [DT] and [BM] the Hopf Galois extension $A(B)^H$ is cleft if and only if $A \cong B\#_\sigma H$ as H-comodule algebras. □

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra over a field k and A be a $U(\mathfrak{g})$-comodule algebra. If there exists a map $\lambda\colon \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow A$ such that $\rho(\lambda(x)) = \lambda(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$ and $\lambda([x, y]) = \lambda(x)\lambda(y) - \lambda(y)\lambda(x)$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then*

i) A is a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module over $U(\mathfrak{g})$.
ii) A_B is a left-right anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. By [Be] the map λ can be extended to a total integral $\lambda\colon U(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow A$ which is an algebra map. Then both i) and ii) are followed by our results in the previous section. □

Example 4.8. Let p be a prime number, R be a commutative ring of characteristic p, G be cyclic group of order p generated by the element g, $H = RG$ be the group algebra of G and $C = M_{p\times p}$ be the space of $p \times p$ matrices with entries in R. If A_{ij} is the standard basis for C then space C is a coalgebra by $\Delta(A_{ij}) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} A_{ik} \otimes A_{kj}$, $\varepsilon(A_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$. The Hopf algebra RG acts on $M_{p\times p}$ by

$$A_{ij}g^n = A_{i+n(modp)\ j+n(modp)}. \tag{4.1}$$

By this action $M_{p\times p}$ is a RG module coalgebra. We define a total cointegral as follows,

$$f\colon M_{p\times p} \longrightarrow RG, \quad f(A_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} g^i. \tag{4.2}$$

It is easy to show that f is a coalgebra map and therefore any Hopf Galois coextension $C(D)_H$ is cleft. In this case, $C = M_{p\times p}$ is a right-right Yetter Drinfeld module by the action defined in (4.1) and the following coaction

$$A_{ij} \longmapsto \sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \delta_{ij}\delta_{kj} A_{mk} \otimes g^{k-i}. \tag{4.3}$$

Furthermore C^D is a stable anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over RG by the action (4.1) and the following coaction

$$A_{ij} \longmapsto \sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \delta_{kj}\delta_{im}\ g^{i-k} \otimes A_{mk}. \tag{4.4}$$

Now we aim to explain some examples for polynomial algebras $R[x]$ as a special case of universal enveloping algebra of a one dimensional Lie algebra. In fact $\Delta(x^n) = \Sigma_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i}x^i \otimes x^{n-i}$ and $\varepsilon(x^n) = 0$ for $n > 0$.

Example 4.9. Let p be a prime number and R be a commutative unital ring of characteristic p. We consider the polynomial algebra $C = R[x]$ and its sub-Hopf algebra $H = R[x^p]$. Therefore C is H-module coalgebra by multiplication. We define the total cointegral $f\colon C \longrightarrow H$ given by

$$f(x^n) = \begin{cases} x^n & \text{if} \quad \text{if } p \mid n \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

Since R is of characteristics p then it can be easily checked that f is a coalgebra map and therefore any Hopf Galois coextension $C(D)_H$ is cleft. Therefore $C = R[x]$ is a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module over $R[x^p]$ by the multiplication as the action and the following coaction,

$$x^n \longmapsto \begin{cases} \sum_{i=j}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{i}\binom{n}{i}\binom{i}{j}x^{i-j}\otimes x^{n+j-i} & \text{if} \quad \text{if } p \mid n \\ 0 \quad \text{if} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

Also $R[x]$ is a right-left anti Yetter-Drinfeld module over $R[x^p]$ by the multiplication as the action and the following coaction,

$$x^n \longmapsto \begin{cases} \sum_{i=j}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{i}\binom{n}{i}\binom{i}{j}x^{i+j-n}\otimes x^{i-j} & \text{if} \quad \text{if } p \mid n \\ 0 \quad \text{if} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

Example 4.10. Let R be a commutative ring and $H = R[x]$ with $\Delta(x^n) = \Sigma_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i}x^i \otimes x^{n-i}$, $\varepsilon(x^n) = 0$ for $n > 0$, and $C = R[t, s]$ with $\Delta(t^n s^m) = \Sigma_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i}t^i s^m \otimes t^{n-i}s^m$ for any basis element $t^n s^m$ in $R[t, s]$. The action of H on C is given by $t^j x^i = t^{i+j}$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $s^j x^i = 0$ if $j > 0$. The action on all mixed terms are zero, i.e $(t^n s^m)x^k = 0$ if $m > 0$.

With respect to this action C is a H-module coalgebra. We introduce the total cointegral $f\colon R[t,s] \longrightarrow R[x]$ given by

$$f(t^i s^j) = \begin{cases} 0 \quad \text{if} & \text{if } j > 0 \\ x^i \quad \text{if} & \text{if } j = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

It is easy to check that f is a H-module coalgebra map. Then any Hopf Galois coextension $C(D)_H$ is cleft. The coalgebra $C = R[t,s]$ is a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module over $R[x]$ by the action that we described and the following coaction,

$$t^n s^m \longmapsto \begin{cases} 0 \quad \text{if} & \text{if } m > 0 \\ \sum_{i=j}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{n}{i}\binom{i}{j} t^{i-j} \otimes x^{n-i-j} & \text{if } m = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

Furthermore C^D is a right-left anti yetter-Drinfeld module over $R[x]$ by the original action of $R[x]$ over $C = R[s,t]$ and the following coaction

$$t^n s^m \longmapsto \begin{cases} 0 \quad \text{if} & \text{if } m > 0 \\ \sum_{i=j}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{n}{i}\binom{i}{j} x^{i+j-n} \otimes t^{i-j} & \text{if } m = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

References

[Be] A. D. Bell, *Comodule algebras and Galois extensions relative to polynomial algebras, free algebras, and enveloping algebras*, Comm. Algebra, Volume 28, pp. 337-362, (2000).

[BM] R. J. Blattner and S. Montgomery, *Crossed products and Galois extensions of Hopf algebras*, Pacific J . Math 137, pp. 37-54, (1989).

[CDY] S. Caenepeel, D. Wang, and Y. Wang, *Twistings, crossed coproducts, and Hopf-Galois coextensions*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Volume 2003, Issue 69, pp. 4325-4345, (2003).

[CFM] M. Cohen, D. Fischman, S. Montgomery, *On YetterDrinfeld categories and H-commutativity*, Commun. Algebra 27, pp. 1321-1345, (1999).

[CM98] A. Connes and H. Moscovici, *Hopf algebras, cyclic cohomology and the transverse index theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. **198**, pp. 199-246, (1998).

[C-Book] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, (1994).

[DMR] S. Dascalescu, G. Militaru and S. Raianu, *Crossed coproduct and cleft coextensions*,Comm. Algebra, Volume 24, Issue 4, pp. 1229-1243, (1996).

[DT] Y. Doi and M. Takeuchi, *Cleft cornodule algebras for a blalgebra*, Comm. Algebra 14, pp. 801-818, (1986).

[JS] P. Jara, and D. Stefan, *Hopf-cyclic homology and relative cyclic homology of Hopf- Galois extensions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 93, pp. 138-174, (2006).

[HKRS1] P.M. Hajac, M. Khalkhali, B. Rangipour and Y. Sommerhauser, *Stable anti- Yetter-Drinfeld modules*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 338, pp. 587-590, (2004).

[HKRS2] P.M. Hajac, M. Khalkhali, B. Rangipour and Y. Sommerhauser, *Hopf-cyclic homology and cohomology with coefficients*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 338, pp. 667-672, (2004).

[Has] M. Hassanzadeh, *Hopf-Galois (Co)Extensions In Noncommutative Geometry*, New Zealand Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 42, pp. 195-215, (2012).

[RS] B. Rangipour, and S. Sutlu, *SAYD modules over Lie-Hopf algebras* , Communications in Mathematical Physics, Volume 316, Issue 1, pp. 199-236, (2012).

[Schn] H-J Schneider, *Principal homogeneous spaces for arbitrary Hopf algebras*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, Volume 72, Issue 1-2, pp. 167-195, (1990).

[St] M. D. Staic *A note on anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules*, Hopf algebras and generalizations, Contemporary Mathematics, 441, pp. 149-153, (2007).

Current address: University of Windsor, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Lambton Tower, Ontario, Canada.
E-mail address: mhassan@uwindsor.ca